

Research on Block Scheduling

Consultation

Dr. Lynn Canady – September, 2004

Roger Lee – Principal at Liberty HS – October, 2004

Presentation

Dr. Lynn Canady – Oct. 2003 – Beliefs relative to school policies and grading practices.

Web Sites

www.ed.gov/pubs/prisonersoftime - Great information on time as a resource for learning.

Directory of High School Scheduling Models in Virginia: 1994-2003 School Year” by M.D. Rettig. A report on innovative high school scheduling, accessible at coe.jmu/EdLeadership/index2.htm.

Position Paper

Skinner, T., Danello, B. & Inge, V. (1995). *It's about time*. Report from Stafford County Public Schools Alternative Scheduling Committee.

School Visits

Liberty High School – Fauquier Co. – (4 x 4 Modified)

Atlee High School – Hanover Co. – (A/B Block)

Edison High School – Fairfax Co. – (4 x 4 Modified)

Blake High School – Montgomery Co. MD – (Modified Block)

Gar-Field HS – Prince William Co. – (A/B Block)

Spotsylvania Vocational Center – (Converting to block next year)

Reference Books and Articles

- Adelman, N., & Pringle, B. (1995). Education reform and the use of time. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 77, 27-29.
- Alam, D., & Seick, Jr., R.E. (1994). A block schedule with a twist. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 75, 732-733.
- Allinder, R. M. (1994). Use of time by teachers in various types of special education programs. *Special Services in the Schools*, 9, 125-136.
- Arnold, D. E. (2002). Block schedule and traditional schedule achievement: A comparison. *NASSP Bulletin*, 86(630), 42-53.
- Bateson, D.J.(1990). Science achievement in semester and all-year courses. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(3), 233-240.
- Black, S. (1998). Learning on the block. Research. *American School Board Journal*, 185(1), 32-34.
- Boarman, G. R., & Kirkpatrick, B. S. (1995). The hybrid schedule: scheduling to the curriculum. *NASSP Bulletin*, 79 (571), 9-18.
- Bevevino, M. M., Snodgrass, D. M., Adams, K. M., & Dengel, J. A. (1998). *An educator's guide to block scheduling: Decision making, curriculum design, and lesson planning strategies*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Buckman, D., King, B., and Ryan, S. (1995). Block scheduling: A means to improve school climate. *NASSP bulletin*, 79 (571), 9-18.
- Canady, R. and Rettig, M. (1995). *Block scheduling: A catalyst for change in high school*. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.
- Canady, R. L. & Rettig, M. D. (1995). *Block Scheduling: A catalyst for change in high schools*. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.
- Canady, R. L. & Rettig, M. D. (1996). *Teaching in the block: Strategies for engaging active learners*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education
- Carroll, J. M. (1995). The Copernican Plan evaluated: The evolution of a revolution. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76, 104-110, 112-113.
- Carter, Brannon (1999). Block Scheduling: An attempt at educational reform. crystal.uah.edu/~carter/papers/block.PDF

- Cobb, R. B., Abate, S., & Baker, D. (1999). Effects on students of a 4 x 4 junior high school block scheduling program. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 7(3), (Entire issue). (Available online at <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v7n3.html>.)
- Conti-D'Antonio, M., Bertrando, R., & Eisenberger, J. (1998). *Supporting students with learning needs in the block*. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.
- Ester, D. (1996). Preparing music teachers for the 21st century: Block scheduling. *Indiana Musicator*. March.
- Edwards, C. (1993). The 4 X 4 plan. *Educational Leadership*, 53 (3): 16-19.
- Fechak, P. E. (1988). The battle against time. *Learning*, 16 (7), 72-74.
- Hackmann, D.G. (1995). Ten guidelines for implementing block scheduling. *Educational Leadership*, 53 (3), 24-27.
- Hess, C., Wronkovich, M., and Robinson, J. (1998). Measured outcomes of learning in the block. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Holmberg, T. (1996). Block scheduling versus traditional education: A comparison of grade-point averages and ACT scores. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.
- Hottenstein, D. S. (1998). *Intensive scheduling: Restructuring America's secondary schools through time management*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Howard, E. (1998). The trouble with block. *American School Board Journal*, 185 (1), 35-36.
- Hurley, J.C. (1997). The 4x4 block scheduling model: What do students have to say about it? *NASSP Bulletin*, 81(593), 64-72.
- Kramer, S. L. (1996). Block scheduling and high school mathematics instruction. *The Mathematics Teacher*, 89, 758-767.
- Lockwood, S. (1995). Semesterizing the high school schedule: The impact of student achievement in Algebra and Geometry. *NASSP Bulletin*, 79 (575), 102-108.
- Monroe, M. J. (1989). BLOCK: successful alternative format addressing learner needs. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, St. Louis, MO.
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, Division of Accountability Services (1996). Blocked scheduled high school achievement: Comparison of 1995 end-of-course

test scores for blocked and non-blocked high schools, Raleigh, NC: Evaluation Services Section, Division of Accountability.

Pullen, S. L., Morse, J., and Varrella, G. F. (1998). A second look at block scheduling. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the National Association of Science Teachers, Las Vegas, NV.

Queen, J. A., and Isenhour, K. G. (1998). *The 4x4 block schedule*. New York: Eye on Education, Inc.

Queen, J. A. (2000). *The block scheduling handbook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Robbins, P., Gregory, G., & Herndon, L. E. (2000) *Thinking inside the block schedule*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Schoenstein, R. (1995). The new school on the block schedule. *The Executive Educator*, 17 (8): 18-21.

Schultz, R. A. (2000). Examining the effects of block scheduling on gifted and talented students. *Gifted Child Today Magazine*, 23(5), 24-33.

Sessoms, J. C. (1995). Teachers perceptions of three models of high school block scheduling. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Virginia, Charlottesville.

Shortt, T.L., & Thayer, Y.V. (1999). Block scheduling can enhance school climate. *Educational Leadership*, 56(4), 76-81.

Shortt, T. L. & Thayer, Y. V. (1999). *The complete handbook of block scheduling*. Bloomington, IN: TECHNOS Press.

Stennett, R. G. & Rachar, B. (1973). Gains in mathematics knowledge in Grade 10 semestered and non-semestered programmes. London, Ontario: London board of Education. (Micromedia Limited Use Microlog order No. ON00775).

Tanner, B. M. (1996). Perceived staff needs of teachers in high schools with block schedules. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Virginia, Charlottesville.

The College Board. (May, 1998). Block schedules and student performance on AP Examinations. *Research News*, RN-03. New York: College Entrance Examination Board.

Veal, W. R. & Schreiber, J. (1999). Block scheduling effects on a state mandated test of basic skills. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 7 (29).

Walker, G. R. (2000). The effect of block scheduling on mathematics achievement in high and low SES and secondary schools. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Kansas, Lawrence.

Weller, D.R., & McLesky, J. (2000). Block scheduling and inclusion in a high school: Teacher perceptions of the benefits and challenges. *Remedial and Special Education, 21*, 209-218.

Wild, R. D. (April, 1998). Science achievement and block schedules. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. San Diego, CA.

Wronkovich, M., Hess, C. A., & Robinson, J. E. (1997). An objective look at math outcomes based on new research into block scheduling. *NASSP Bulletin, 81* (593): 32-41.